Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,508	ORENGA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa J. Hobbs	1657	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representativ	e, PTO personnel):		

(2) Benjamin S. Prebyl .

(4) .

(1) Lisa J. Hobbs.

(3)\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 January 2009

c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant

2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103(a) and the art applied was discussed. The limitations of the dependent claims were discussed, as were possible amendments to the independent claim to obviate the art rejection of record. The state of the art with respect to the knowledge of the enzymes and inhibitors of molds, yeasts and bacteria was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Piccord of Interview. requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.